Concept #2

Contentious Heritages and Arts: A Critical Companion

Updated Contents and Chapters Vs 16.5.18

Overview of Contents

Part 1 | Introduction

Introduces the remit of the book and the TRACES project.

Part 2 | Roaming Concepts

TRACES core concepts from different perspectives. Text contributions plus photos by different authors, about 2000 words each. Approx. 5 print pages at 400 wds each. Photos and other elements depending on offers. Open Questions: Additional elements? More wds needed for substantial contribution?

Part 3 | TRACES Model: Creative Co-Production

Presents the rationale of creative co-productions from different perspectives: curators, artists, ethnographers, institutions. Includes reflections, images and examples from transdisciplinary TRACES teams across Europe. Includes brief introductions of research sites (500 wds and 5 images – or more to choose from?). Room for visual, textual and interactive types of contributions, depending on offers.

Part 4 | Practices of Critical Articulation

This part is about practices and experiences within the CCPs and other teams. Contributions can take the form of reflections, narratives, photos, collages, hybrid records, analyses, depending on preferences of authors. They illustrate and analyse de- and re-articulation of contentious heritage along the lines of temporality, space, setting, materiality, art-as-medium. They demonstrate how 'agonistic spaces' (where different positions on heritage were articulated and new perspectives offered) were created (or failed).

Part 5 | (Un-)Learning Contentious Heritages

This part is about practices and concepts of knowledge and transmission. It offers insights in guided audience interactions. WP3 tentatively agreed to act as a conveyor for this chapter, and mentioned a preference for interactive and/ or collaborative formats.

Part 6 | Europe: A contested framework

TRACES has engaged in critical discussion about Europe as a framework. What do different speakers mean by "Europe"? Contributions deal with Reflexive Europeanisation, European Imagination, Beyond Europe and more, using different formats.

Part 7 | Conclusion

(Part 8 | Glossary)

Depending on contributions, a glossary can be added or dropped

| Bibliography | Register

Additional Resources | Illustrative and Interactive Elements

These are excerpts from existing illustrative and interactive materials, such as email, edited interviews, skype chats, basecamp, minutes, screenshots, photos, collages. Such materials can make visible positions and conflicts, speech and counter-speech. Such elements can be grouped in the various parts, and give the book a more interactive vibe.

Contents and chapters in detail, 17.5.2018

Open questions: We need a teaser editorial: people who summarise smaller contributions. But we also have curators: Nora and Karin for Part 5, Marion for photography, Alenka for position of artist.

Part 1 | Introduction

This part sets the scene for the audience. It introduces the TRACES remit, and what it provides to the audience. Brief intros and photo for all research sites for reference of audience.

Remit of the book Focus on process, practices and settings. References to other TRACES publications (final exhibition catalogue, WP volumes). To be written by eds/editorial board, discussion across TR encouraged	Eds, ed. board	
Research Sites 5-8 brief intros for research sites with photo/s, for audience reference. Can be based on grant agreement, website, reports. 500 words? Hybrid record?	CCPs, WPs	Agreed at MTM workshop

Part 2 | Concepts

This part gives an overview of the TRACES core concepts. It relates roughly to the keywords outlined in fanzine 5. Each concept is outlined in relation to the TRACES remit. Texts refer to the projects in order to demonstrate and explain what is interesting about the concepts. They should also relate to the TRACES research questions in the grant agreement objectives.

Cross-referencing to other parts or text-boxes rather than extensive examples. 5-10 references. 2000 - 3000 words. Following MTM discussions, "art" was dropped as a separate part, but it should run through all concepts as part of the TRACES remit. Quotes, comments and interactive elements from research material are desirable and are here represented in boxes.

0. Editorial Introduction/Teaser short summary, photo/ collage with captions	Eds, ed. board	
1. Contentious heritage Critical Heritage Studies perspective. Raising questions. possibly focus on museums/collections/archives based on WP5 cooperation with CCPs	WP5, Sharon	Offered at SC Skype April 18
Heritage communities800 wds. Theoretical contribution. Check with otherTRACERs, if they use this concept too.Orphan heritage800 wds. Theoretical contribution,	CCP2, Erica CCP2, Roma	Confirmed Confirmed
Should the three pieces on contentious heritage be merged into one chapter? Teaser by Sharon, 800 wds theoretical contributions on politics of heritage and agonistic approach? Should this be a collaborative chapter? Based on evaluation process?		
 2. The politics of heritage: making heritage contentious Dimensions of contentiousness in different heritage configurations, practices of agency. 	WP4, Marion	MTM WP4 pre sentation
<i>Medias Shop Window</i> Photo + brief comment. Archival materials publicly displayed in 'contentious' way. Photos and short intro from mtm available.	CCP 1, Julie	Ask CCP1, check minutes

3. Agonistic approach to heritage Aim to create spaces where it is possible to disagree "without slaughtering each other." Explain disarticulation and rearticulation of heritages in relation to art	WP4, Klaus/Marion	Offered at MTM workshop
4. Reflexive Europeanisation What does this mean in relation to TRACES remit?	WP5, Regina	Offered at MTM workshop
5. Performing heritage How does heritage become performative? Everyday live, popular culture	WP4, Marion	Fanzine 5
 6. Participation This may relate to artistic participation (WP1). Alternatively, participation could be included in 5 Performing heritage Juxtaposing different understandings in boxes? 	Ask WP1, Suzana	See Fanzine 5
7. Learning, Conflict and Multidirectionality The concept of conflictous learning spaces relates to the concept of agonism. This will be discussed, extended and questioned based on WP3 research.	WP3, Nora/ Karin	Offered at MTM workshop

Part 3 | TRACES Model: Creative Co-Production

Presents the rationale of creative co-productions from different perspectives: curators, artists, ethnographers, institutions. Hopefully with reflections and examples from all TRACES teams. Includes different types of contributions depending on authors: Analysis (3000 wds), Reflection/Case Study (1500-2000 wds), "bites" (200 wds).

Editorial Introduction	Eds, ed.	
Short summary	board	
1. Becoming Contentious	WP1,	Abstract
3000 wds	Suzana	provided, no
Institutional critique of contentious heritage from individual		wordcount
artistic interventions to participatory institutional critique		
and co-production		
Some quotes or comments from WP1 research needed for		
typographic elements		
		Offere 1. (
2. Explaining CCPs: Tal's perspective 1000 - 2000 wds. Initiator of CCP concept. Outlines CCP	WP1,WP5,	Offered at MTM
concept from artist perspective. Artistic research,	CCP4, Tal	workshop
engagement with stakeholders, audience experience. Need:		workshop
Explain benefits of long-term engagement. Possibly excerpts		
from videos. Photos?		
3. Position of artist	CCP3,	Statement of
Collaborative Chapter. Led by Alenka. Proposal to discuss	Alenka	Intent
this as part of SC evaluation effort: Week 1 - Alenka		
initiates a debate on Companion bc msg board. Blog post		
may serve as provocation, to be confirmed, contradicted or		
₹ <u> </u>		
lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate.		
lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds)		
lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more-		
lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds)		
lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more- than-a-teaser, 2000 wds+, which is her own evaluation.		
 lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more-than-a-teaser, 2000 wds+, which is her own evaluation. Analytical piece by Alenka (1500 – 2000 wds, more? 		
lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more- than-a-teaser, 2000 wds+, which is her own evaluation.		
 lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more-than-a-teaser, 2000 wds+, which is her own evaluation. Analytical piece by Alenka (1500 – 2000 wds, more? Photos?) 		
lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more- than-a-teaser, 2000 wds+, which is her own evaluation. Analytical piece by Alenka (1500 – 2000 wds, more? Photos?) May include boxes with statements from the debate or		
 lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more-than-a-teaser, 2000 wds+, which is her own evaluation. Analytical piece by Alenka (1500 – 2000 wds, more? Photos?) May include boxes with statements from the debate or illustrating photos. 		
 lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more-than-a-teaser, 2000 wds+, which is her own evaluation. Analytical piece by Alenka (1500 – 2000 wds, more? Photos?) May include boxes with statements from the debate or illustrating photos. Discuss with CCP3: Does "artistic craftsmanship" fit 		
 lead to new aspects. Week 2-3: Posting open for debate. Short stand-alone statements encouraged (up to 200 wds) Week 4: Alenka posts summary. Then: Alenka writes more- than-a-teaser, 2000 wds+, which is her own evaluation. Analytical piece by Alenka (1500 – 2000 wds, more? Photos?) May include boxes with statements from the debate or illustrating photos. Discuss with CCP3: Does "artistic craftsmanship" fit here? As a juxtaposition: an outside view on artist 		

 4. Ethnographic perspective: Ethnography and Art Theoretically grounded, generalised statements on Art/Ethnography/Contentious Heritage. What does ethnography/art co-production/collaboration bring to heritage work/ knowledge production / What did we learn from the TRACES "embedded ethnographer" /creative co- production practice? New Questions? Division of labour? Melting of boundaries? Etc. Includes quotes from WP2 book or materials, or stand-alone 	WP2, Arnd Arnd coordinat es	Mentioned at MTM workshop, confirmed To be clarified
3-page pieces by ethnographers		
Ethnography/ ArtSubstantial analytical text by Arnd, art/ethnography in relation to TRACES remit. Max 7000 wds5 Ethnographic reflections (tbc)1200 wds each? Short contributions by WP2ethnographersLeone: see part 6		
 5. Cultural (?) perspective: Co-Production – challenges and potentials Based on Klaus' expertise in art/academic collaborations. 	WP4, Klaus, NN	Offered
Analytical piece by Klaus, NN (2000-3000?) Boxes with comments, interview excepts etc?		
6. Institutional perspectives "What are the effects of the TRACES collaboration with your institution"? ask City Museum and Uni-Libray (Ljubljana), Vienna Natural History Museum, Museums in Rome, Frankfurt, Krakow. Collect short statements from institutional actors, possibly interview-format? Institutional logic, confidentiality, copyright.	WP7 Karin, Gisi provide list of contacts	Editorial proposal
Teaser, overview of the perspectives WP2 Rome Museum	WP2, ask Leone	Editorial proposal

Ethnographic Museum Krakow Comments on statements from Ethnographic actors. interview format (move to part 4?)	CCRCP,2, MMdglftflen a a	on Stranged ent of intent
 7. Dialogical approach Reflection 200 – 1200 wds with Photos. This piece outlines the CCP5 method. It needs a home. Dialogical approach Move – but where? In part 3, it could go in a box with Tal's, Klaus' or Suzana's perspective. Or Part 1, participation? 	CCP5	Statement of intent provided

Part 4 | Practices of Critical Articulation

This part is about practices and experiences within the CCPs and other teams. Contributions can take the form of reflections, narratives, photos, collages, hybrid records, analyses, depending on preferences of authors. They illustrate and analyse de- and re-articulation of contentious heritage. They demonstrate how 'agonistic spaces' (where different positions on heritage were articulated and new perspectives offered) were created, using materiality, timing, artistic media etc. The list collects offers from the MTM workshops and ideas taken from reports and presentations.

Editorial Introduction Short. What are practices and why are they relevant. Importance of reflecting on-the-ground experience.	Eds, ed. board	
 2. The Medias Synagogue: An Exploration of Liminality in Jewish Space Critical reflection on space, the compound, place and contentiousness, liminality. Spaces and Settings? 2000 wds 	CCP1, Julie	Abstract provided
(Absent populations) Quote/comment pointing to absent populations in a traditionally multi-lingual and pluri-cultural area> integrate in liminality? Check Rastvan interview, report for quote.	CCP1, Julie, Raztvan	Discuss with CCP1
 3. Uses of Photography Collaborative Chapter. 2000 – 4000 wds incl. teaser (800+) to summarise and theorise. Photography as performance and technique, a medium for critical reflexivity/engagement/distancing? Language of Photography? Visual anthropology. Why addressing contentiousness with photography? Can you fotograph contentiousness? 	WP4, Marion (curator)	Marion Proposal
Analytical Overview (Marion)	WP4, Marion	
Dialogical Photography (200 – 600 wds, clarify) Comment / reflection	CCP 5, Aisling, Martin	Statement of Intent
<i>Fading Studies: Counter-Technological Processes of</i> <i>Memory-Making</i> On Sun-Printing. Photos and interview exist. Both artist and historian perspective. Technique as medium for engagement? Symbolic dimension?	CCP 1, Rastvan, Julie	Abstract provided

Close-Ups	CCP2,	Confirmed
200 wds. Comment on the method.	Wojciech	Commu
Reflexive? Artistic? Photography	CCP 4, ask	Proposal
200 - 600 wds. Large Photo of skulls from Vienna	Tal, John,	Marion
museum. Why photography? Consequence of feelings? Of	Jane	
what? Connection to potential contentiousness? Process of		
making and displaying?		Statement
Photographic portraits of Death Masks	CCP 3, Jani	of Intent
200 – 600 words? More? Jani works with documentation	, ,	Statement
photographer of Ljubljana museum museum on taking		of Intent
photographic portraits of death-masks		
4. Exhibiting, Collecting, Archiving	NN	Idea from
Collaborative Chapter. What is re-articulated or de-		Roma
articulated through contentious collections/ exhibitions/		
archives? Roma proposed an exhibition chapter. This		
chapter needs a curator, who looks for small contributions /		
facilitates the evaluation. Also someone to write a teaser or		
more. Invite Roma or WP5 (Anna, Sharon) to write a teaser.		
Proposal to include this topic in overall evaluation. Ask		
CCP2,3, 4, 5 for statements to be included.		
Exhibition as essay	CCP2, Roma	Confirmed
200 wds. On collective curating		
Exhibition as research	CCP2, Erica	Confirmed
200 wds. On exhibition as process, interrogative and		
critical exhibition; exhibition as a dream		Mentioned
Archive as repertoire	CCP4, Aglaia	
Aglaia expressed interest in Collections/Archives as	tbc	at mtm, ask her
repertoire.		usk ner
Exhibition as experience/ multi-perspective opening		
Marion wrote a blog-post on the CCP3 exhibition from an	WP4, Marion	Exists
informed visitor perspective		
Collections in Edinburgh/Berlin	Ask WP5,	Mentioned
Ask WP5, CCP4: Anna, Sharon, John, Joan, Linda	CCP4	in report,
Exhibiting prison art in a prison	Ask WP6,	MTM
Bergamo prison and Long Kesh/Maze:	Francesca,	Mentioned
Prisons as (in-) accessible heritage sites. Does this fit	Cristina	in activity
here?		report
Museum Design and contentious heritage	Ask WP6,	Mentioned
	Francesca,	on central
"we carry out a research task in WP3 on museum design, display and difficult heritage"	Cristina	

 5. Practices of structured openness Collaborative Chapter. Teaser/ theory by Domestic Research Society. Flexibility/ openness and strict planning are both necessary. What can a balance look like? How are synergies created? Expl press conference as dialogical public performance? Proposal to include this topic in SC evaluation exercise. 	CCP3 (and Marion)	Expressed interest
Image: Analytical piece, how long?Domestic Research Society approach Alenka Jani: Analytical piece, how long?Creative Postponing UNIKUM story of postponing TRACES deliverable due to artistic belly-aches / transforming opera into preludeTiming: Pace of things The title is a term-to-think-with found in CCP5 report. WP3 and CCP5 are planning an interview. Decide if pace of things goes in part 4 or part 5. Reflection by Marion on 	CCP3 WP4, Marion WP4, Marion WP3, CCP5, others?	Stateme nt of Intent Stateme nt of Intent
 6. Doing heritage with materiality Engaging with materials in de- and rearticulating contentious heritages. Heritage as both process and object. Not sure where to put it. A 800 wd theory piece? A 2000 wd theoretical contribution on performativity and materiality, tangible and intangible heritage? Try to get boxes from others? Include in SC evaluation exercise? 	Marion, NN, ask all CCPs for input	Marion proposal
Excerpt from CCP3 Jani interview with death mask maker Photos from CCP5, ask for explanation Analysis WP4 'artistic craftspeopleship' (Marion) Move CCP2 analytical contribution (Erica) from Part 2 here? Can CCP4 contribute something on contentious collections Can WP5 contribute something on Contentious Collections?		

Part 5 | (Un)-Learning Contenious Heritages

This part is about practices and concepts of knowledge and transmission, with a view to audiences. It offers insights in guided audience interactions. WP3 tentatively agreed to act as a conveyor for this chapter, and mentioned a preference for interactive and/ or collaborative formats. WP3 may change the title of this part. Moderation – mediation – (un-)learning – knowledge. Informed by pedagogy.

Editorial Introduction Short.	Conveyors, eds, ed. board	
Where and when does un/learning contentious heritages take place? Education, research and knowledge production in the TRACES projects		
Karin Schneider, Nora Landkammer Audience and mediation research at the exhibition "Dead images" Anna Szöke		
Experiences of interactions with visitors at the exhibition "The Casting of death" <i>Alenka Pirman, Jani Pirnat, Karin Schneider</i>		
 The pace of things Martin Krenn, Aisling O'Beirn, Karin Schneider, Nora Landkammer (Interview/Conversation) → Overlap with part 4 - decide 		
Doing action research in an ethnographic museum <i>Karin Schneider, Nora Landkammer, Stephanie Endter</i>	WP3, Nora	Offered at MTM workshop
Heritage guide perspective Experiences of interactions with visitors at the Medias Synagogue Alexandra Toma (possible Co-Author: Nora Landkammer)	WP3, Nora with CCP1, Alexandra	Mentioned at MTM workshop
(What happens in educational workshop situation?) The WP3 toolkit outlines methods to be used for workshops with CCPs. Selected workshops could be a basis for a contribution on visitor engagement.	WP3, Karin	Offered at MTM workshop
Museum Design and contentious heritage "we carry out a research task in WP3 on museum design, display and difficult heritage" (move to part 4, exhibitions?)	WP6, Francesca	Suggested on central hub

Part 6 | Europe: A contested framework

TRACES has engaged in critical discussion about Europe as a framework. What do different speakers mean by "Europe"? Reflexive Europeanisation, European Imagination, Beyond Europe

Editorial Introduction Short overview of chapter, photoReflexive Europeanisation Collaborative chapter? Include in Overall Evaluation? How is this different from the piece in Part 2/ Concepts?Group with "European imagination"? Populism?	Eds, ed. Board Regina tbc, Maybe Marion	offered at MTM workshop
Beyond "Europe" Empire, post-colonialism. Migration. Relational approaches. Reference to museums/institutions and everyday practices (WP4 – colonial heritage/black heritage).	Ask WP2 Arnd. Poss. Input from WP5 (Anna?) and WP4	Title of WP2 conference: Global Traces
Taking the B out of Brixton (Marion)On aftermath of colonial heritage and gentrification. Case studyBel Suol d'Amore: The Scattered Colonial Body (Leone)This exhibition at Museo delle Civiltà in Rome resulted from collaboration between Arnd and Leone.Reflection on Bel Suol d'AmoreAglaia expressed interest in a reflection. Contrasting with Leone's perspective?Restitutions of human remains	Marion Leone tbc Aglaia tbc (WP5) Ask Anna tbc	Proposal Mentioned at MTM workshop (Aglaia)
Heritages in Populist Europe ? The role of heritage amongst populist actors, counter strategies from below.	Marion	Proposal, to be discussed
Towards a European Imagination Imagining Europe from the margins and conflicts. Making Europe real.	Klaus	Offered

Stakeholders and Policies	Ask	Suggestion
Policy-Making in Europe. How to push contentious heritage	Francesca	
approach? How do we, as heritage workers, defend our	and Cristina	
interests? How to transmit recommendations to leaders,		
administrators, decision-makers?		

Part 7 | Conclusion

| Glossary (optional)

Depending on participation of TRACES colleagues

Impact	
Deliverable	
Stakeholder	
Exploit	
Dissemination	
Sustainability	
Resilience	
Vulnerable	
Consent	
Confidentiality	
Dissemination	
Authorship	
Precarity	
Budget	

| Bibliography

| Register

Additional Contributions | Illustrative or Interactive typographic Elements

These are excerpts from existing interactive materials, such as email, edited interviews, skype chats, basecamp, minutes, screenshots, photos, collages. Such materials can make visible positions and conflicts, speech and counter-speech. They can be assigned to the various parts as typographic elements.